

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/641,933	08/18/2000	Ronald Martin Pearlstein	06029 USA	7403
23543	7590 07/02/2002			
AIR PRODUCTS AND CHEMICALS, INC.			EXAMINER	
7201 HAMIL	PARTMENT TON BOULEVARD		WALTON, GEORGE L	
ALLENIOW	ALLENTOWN, PA 181951501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

O.

\_\_\_\_\_

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/641,933** 

Applicant(s)

**ZHENG ET AL** 

Examiner

George L. Walton

Art Unit **3753** 

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period 1	for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  • Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing	date of this communication.				
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 5, 200	01			
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This acti	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-39	is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-39	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.			
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No			
	application from the International Burea				
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) L					
15)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm	ent(s) stice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
_	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:			

Art Unit: 3753

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/5/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's are not being considered because of the unexecuted declaration. Note that the inventive entity of the instant application and the Europen Patent No. EP 0,916,891 A2, are totally different. Zheng just happens to be one of the inventors in the European patent. Therefore, the previous rejection still stands.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-39 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pearlstein et al, applied in the office action dated 10/04/01.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George L. Walton whose telephone number is (703) 308-2596.

GEORGE L. WALTON

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY, CENTER - 3700

ART UNIT - 3753

**GLW** 

July 1, 2002